

Comparative Evaluations of Surface and Subsurface Water for Irrigation Purposes at Middle Lower Niger, Central Nigeria: Implications for Agricultural Production

Olagoke Oloruntoba, Abubakar Yunusa & Ethan Saul

National Cereals Research Institute, Badeggi, P.M.B. 8 Bida, Niger State,

Email: tobaolagoke@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Olagoke Oloruntoba

ABSTRACT

Comparative evaluation of surface and subsurface water quality was carried out in some selected lowland of middle lower River Niger viz: Badeggi and Edozighi irrigation Schemes located at Lat, 9°06' N, Long. 5° 59' E; and Lat. 9°05.25' N, Long 5°5.900' E respectively, in the Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria to assess the quality of irrigation waters. The results obtained from the chemical analysis of the waters, have shown that the total dissolved solids (TDS) for the surface water is on the average of 270.55 mg/l. The sub-surface water had higher concentration of dissolved solids with average values of 303.15 mg/l. The sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) which relates the sodium content with the dicationic-cations calcium and magnesium ranged between 37.05 and 20.85 mg/l for surface water and 54.05 and 22.25 mg/l for sub-surface water respectively. The results indicate that the quality of both surface water and sub-surface is classified as good according to FAO standard.

Keyword: Comparative evaluation, surface, subsurface water, classification

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural practices like crop production, food processing, aquaculture, and livestock production are common in towns and villages around central Nigeria. The aforementioned activities are majorly depends on water especially during the dry season which normally lasted for about six months yearly. All the above agriculture activities affect the chemistry of the soil and water

(Naseem *et al.*, 2010). Natural sources of fresh water for irrigation are rivers, lakes, streams, dams and groundwater. Water is the most important input required for plant growth in agricultural production.

Irrigation water quality refers to its suitability for use. Good irrigation water quality has the potential to allow maximum yield of crops under good soil and water management

conditions (Omotosho *et al.*, 2012). However, poor quality of irrigation water may cause salinity of soil and toxicity to the plant. This will result in impaired growth and reduce yields, unless special management practices are adopted to maintain or restore maximum soil production. Knowledge of irrigation water quality is critical to understanding management for a long-term productivity. Irrigation water quality is evaluated based upon total salt content, sodium and specific iron toxicities. Salt affected soils develop from a wide range of factors, including soil types, field slopes and drainage, irrigation system type and management, fertilization and manuring practices and other soil and water management practices (Ethan *et al.*, 2014).

All irrigation waters contain dissolve salts which dissociate into ions. Ions are electrically charged particles made up of individual elements or combination of elements which are taken up by the plant roots. In the majority of irrigation waters these ions are quite diluted, but in low quality waters can be significant. The addition of fertilizer to irrigation waters increases the concentration of positively charged ions (cations) and negatively charged ions (anions).

Total salt concentration of irrigation water should not be used as single criteria to prevent its use in irrigation. Even water with considerable high salt concentration can be used for irrigation without endangering soil productivity, provided selected irrigation management could take into account all other factors affecting crop production. The key point is how to maintain existing salt balance in plant root zone (Kirda C.).

LOCATIONS/CLIMATE OF THE STUDY AREA

The research was carried out in Niger State, Nigeria. Niger State is located between latitude 8° 22'N and 11°30'N and Longitude 3° 30'E and 7° 20'E in Nigeria, The region experiences two distinct seasons, the dry and wet seasons, with annual rainfall varying from 1,100mm in the northern part to 1,600mm in the southern parts (Olagoke *et al.*, 2014). Mean maximum temperature remains relatively high throughout the year, averaging about 32°C, particularly in March and June. The lowest minimum temperature occurs usually between December and January (Iloeje, 1982). Generally, the fertile soil and hydrology of the State permit the cultivation of most of Nigeria's staple crops and still allows sufficient opportunities for grazing,

fresh water fishing and forestry development.

Niger State shares boundaries with Kaduna State and Federal Capital Territory, in the East and South-East respectively, Kebbi and Kaduna in the North, Kwara and Kogi States in the South and Benin Republic in the West (Fig.1). The landscape consists mostly of wooded savannas and includes the floodplains of the Rivers Niger and Kaduna (Iloje, 1982). Niger State has an area of 29,484 square miles (76,363 square km). Generally, agricultural activities form the mainstay of the people's economy and engage directly or indirectly more than 80 percent of the population.

Estimated potential irrigated land in Nigeria is about 356,000ha, only about 185,000ha was planned of which about 32,000ha have been completed and irrigated. In addition, the development of about 146,000ha of fadama is underway and out of this about 99,000ha falls under middle lower Niger (JICA 1993)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rivers water (surface) and Tube-well (subsurface) water were collected in clean PVC bottle of 1 litre in various locations in the studies area. They were properly labeled, filtered with

Whiteman paper to remove particles and were analyzed immediately, the samples that were not analyzed the same day were kept in a refrigerator for analyses the next day. The analyses were done using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopic (AAS) for cations, EDTA titration for anions and pH meter and electrical conductivity meters.

The following Parameters were determined to evaluate the suitability of both surface and sub-surface water for Agricultural production:

- Sodium Adsorption Ratio, SAR (Richards, 1954)
- Permeability Index (PI) (Doneen, 1964)
- Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC)
- Total Hardness (TH) (Todd, 1980)
- Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP) (Todd, 1995)
- Magnesium Adsorption Ratio (MAR) (Paliwal, 1972)
- Kelly Ratio (KR) (Kelly, 1951)
- Potential Salinity (PS) (Doneen, 1964)

METHODOLOGY

The qualities of the water for agricultural purposes are determined by using the following Indexes:

$SAR = \frac{Na^+}{((Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})/2)^{1/2}}$ (ions in meq/l)

Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC) = $(\text{CO}_3 + \text{HCO}_3) - (\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$ (ions in meq/l)

Permeability Index (PI) = $100 \times \left(\frac{[\text{Na}] + [\text{HCO}_3]^{1/2}}{[\text{Na}] + [\text{Ca}] + [\text{Mg}]} \right)$

Magnesium Adsorption Ratio (MAR) = $\text{Mg}^{2+} \times 100 / (\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$

Kelly Ratio (KR) = $\text{Na}^+ / (\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+})$

Soluble sodium% (SSP) = $\left(\frac{(\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)}{(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)} \right) \times 100$

Potential Salinity (PS) = $\text{Cl}^- + 0.5 \times \text{SO}_4^{2-}$

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The summary of analyses for surface water and subsurface are presented in table 1 and table 2 respectively. The sequences of the abundance of the major ions are in the following orders: $\text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{Mg}^{2+} > \text{Na}^+ > \text{Fe} > \text{B} > \text{K}^+$ and $\text{HCO}_3^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{PO}_4^{3-} > \text{NO}_3^-$ for Rivers, while the sequence for Tube-well is in this order $\text{Ca}^{2+} > \text{Na}^+ > \text{Mg}^{2+} > \text{B} > \text{Fe} > \text{K}^+$ and $\text{HCO}_3^- > \text{NO}_3^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{PO}_4^{3-}$ as shown in figure 2 and 3 below. The dominant hydro-chemical facies (water type) for both sources of water is Ca-Mg- HCO_3 water type as revealed by figures 2 and 3. The average value of SAR for both the Rivers and Tube-well water are 0.36 and 1.08 respectively which makes both water excellent irrigations water, inference from table 4.

Table 3 shows that average Percentage of sodium for Rivers and

Tube-well water are 11 and 25% respectively, and it falls under permissible class for irrigation (Ishaku *et al.*, 2011). Average KR is 0.2556 and 0.0862 meq/l for Rivers and Tube-well water respectively, which confirms its suitability for irrigation purposes. Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC) is less than 1 meq/l for both water sources, safe for irrigation water and other agricultural uses. Potential Salinity (PS) is also less than 3 meq/l for both water sources, hence, safe for irrigation water (Tathy *et al.*, 2011). Boron is <0.55 ppm, therefore suitable for irrigation water with no problem for sensitive plants.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Irrigation water quality alone is not enough to evaluate potential salinity hazard which may be confronted under irrigated agriculture. It is not possible to classify different qualities of irrigation water with clear cut boundaries, and therefore, one must consider; plant, soil, climatic conditions as well as existing agronomic and irrigation practices in a given region in the final evaluation of water quality.

Agronomist and irrigation specialists should advice farmers for appropriate management practices to overcome

potential salinity hazard if the quality of available water would pose any problem. Water quality criteria should be used as a guideline to define appropriate management practices in irrigated agriculture to maintain existing soil productivity with the benefits of high crop yields under irrigation (Ethan *et al.*, 2014).

Based on the results of this research, both the Rivers and Tube-well water in middle lower River Niger in central Nigeria are suitable for irrigation because no clogging of soil, no salinity hazards and no adverse effect

on soil properties are envisaged. The water is equally suitable for consumption of domestic animals.

Micro irrigation should be encouraged in middle Niger as there is no complication arising from the qualities of the water. Also, simple technology on water storage and extraction involving women and children should be encouraged. Lastly, Introduction of modern farming and cropping system should be introduced to farmers with the aid of extension officers.

List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of the Analyses for Rivers Water in mg/l

Parameter	Average	Min	Max	Sdv
pH	7.35	6.46	8.24	0.89
Ec _w	1.24	0.90	1.58	0.34
Temp °C	34.25	32.8	35.7	1.45
TH	25.85	24.1	27.6	1.75
Ca	37.05	35.4	38.7	1.65
Na	7.7	6.9	8.5	0.8
K	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1
Mg	20.85	17.2	24.5	3.65
Fe	2.05	1.7	2.4	0.35
B	1.4	1.2	1.6	0.1
SO ₄	35.6	27.1	44.1	8.5
NO ₃	0.15	0.1	0.2	0.05
PO ₄	1.35	1.2	1.5	0.15
HCO ₃	55.2	50.1	60.3	5.1
Cl	38.8	26.4	51.2	12.4

Table 2: Summary of the Analyses for Tube-well Water in mg/l

Comparative Evaluations of Surface and Subsurface Water for Irrigation Purposes at Middle Lower Niger, Central Nigeria: Implications for Agricultural Production

Parameter	Average	Min	Max	Sdv
pH	8.03	7.15	8.92	8.885
Ec _w	0.57	0.27	0.88	0.30
Temp °C	34.5	32.4	36.6	2.1
TH	54.7	51.2	58.2	3.5
Ca	54.05	32.4	75.7	21.65
Na	26.4	7.2	45.6	19.2
K	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.02
Mg	22.25	12.0	32.5	10.25
Fe	0.57	0.1	1.03	0.465
B	1.65	1.2	2.1	0.45
SO ₄	2.15	0.2	4.1	1.95
NO ₃	11.5	7.5	14.5	3.5
PO ₄	0.42	0.38	0.45	0.035
HCO ₃	23.55	15.0	32.1	8.55
Cl	9.58	1.16	18.0	8.42

Table 3: Calculated Indices for both Rivers and Tube-well (meq/l)

Indexes	Rivers	Tube-well
Potential Salinity (PS)	0.3996	0.0054
Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR)	0.36	1.08
Magnesium Absorption Ratio (MAR)	55.88	40.26
Permeability Index (PI)	16.02	13.58
Kelly Ratio (KR)	0.10	0.25
Soluble Sodium % (SSP)	8.84%	20.03%
Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC)	0.2556	0.0862
Total Dissolve Solid (TDS)	270.55	303.15

Table 4: Guideline for Interpreting Chemical Quality of Irrigation Water

Characteristics	Desirable limits	Maximum allowable limits	Average in the Study Area	
			Rivers	Tube-well
Salinity				
EC _w	0.75	0.75 – 3.0	1.24	0.57
Toxicity				
SAR	3.0	3 – 9	0.36	1.08
Boron (mg/l)	0.75	0.75 – 2.0	1.4	1.4
Chloride (mg/l)	4	4 – 10	38.8	38.8

Others				
pH	Normal range	6.5 – 8.4	7.35	8.03
Nitrate (mg/l)	5	5 – 30	0.15	11.5
Bicarbonate	46.5	46.5 – 263.5	53.2	23.5

Adopted from FAO (2000)

List of Figures




Figure 1: Vegetation Distributions of Nigeria




Fig. 2a: % average of major cations (abundance of the major cations) for Rivers water




Figure 2b: % average of Major Anions (abundance of the major anions) for Rivers waters




Fig. 3a: % average of major cations (abundance of the major cations) for Tube-well water.




Figure 3b: % average of major anions (abundance of the major anions) for Tube-well water

REFERENCES

- Ayoade, J.O., (1988): Introduction to Climatology for the Tropics. Spectrum Books Limited. Vol. 2 Issue – 3, June 2014
- Ayers R. S. Quality of irrigation water. In: proceedings of irrigation and drainage special conference. Am. Soc. Civil Eng. 13-15 August 1975. Logan Utah, 1975
- Doneen, I. D., 1964. Notes on water quality in Agriculture. Published as a water Science and Engineering. Paper 4001. Department of Water Sciences and Engineerring, University of California.
- Ethan, S., Olagoke, O., Abubakar, Y. (2014) Assessment of the quality of Irrigation water at the Badeggi and Edozighi Irrigation Schemes. International Journal of Geology, Agriculture and Environmental Sciences. Vol. 2 Issue – 3, June 2014
- Iloje, N.P., (1982): A New Geography of Nigeria. Lagos, Longman, Nigeria Limited
- Irrigation Potential in Africa; A Basin Approach. FAO Land and water Bulletin Vol. 4
- Ishaku, J. and I. H. Matazu. Evaluation of water resources in Numan, North East Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Sciences 8(1) 20- 25, 1998).
- Kelly, W. P., (1951). Alkali Soils-Their Formation, properties and Reclamation. 3rd edition. Reinhold Publ., New York, USA. 92.
- Kirda, C. Assessing of irrigation water quality. Cukurova University, Faculty of Agriculture Adana. Turkey pp 367377, 20114.

- Naseem, S., Hamza, S. and Bashur, E. 2010. Groundwater Geochemistry of Winder Agricultural Farms, Balochistan Pakistan and Assessment for Irrigation Water Quality. *European Water*, 31:21-32.
- Olagoke, O. and Olatunji, J. A. (2014) Evaluation of Water Resources of Bida Town in Central Nigeria *J. of Environmental Sciences and Resources Management*. Vol. 6. No. 1 pp. 44 – 55.
- Omotosho, O. A. and Ojo, O. J. (2012): Assessment of quality of River Niger Flood plain water at Jebba, Central Nigeria: Implications for Irrigation. *Water Quality Journal* 4; 13 – 24, 2012.
- Paliwal, K.V., 1972. Irrigation with saline water. Monogram no. 2 (New series). New Delhi, IARI, 198.
- Richards, L. A., 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils *Agric Handbook* 60, USDA and IBH Pub. Coy Ltd., New Delhi, India, pp: 98-99.
- Tathy, C. Matini, L. Moukandi Nkaya, G. and. Mabilia, B. 2011. Assessing Factors Controlling the Hydrochemistry and Suitability for Irrigation Purposes of Aquifer AQ-2 in Pointe-Noire, South-West Congo-Brazzaville. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 3(7): 617-624.
- Todd, D. K. 1980. *Groundwater Hydrology*. 2nd. ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 535.
- Waste water treatment and use in agriculture. (FAO corporate Document Repository. www.fao.org/dorep/t0551e/