### Oluwayomi Ayoade Ekundayo & Emmanuel Ademola Ajanaku

Department of Actuarial Science & Insurance Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikeji-Arakeji, Osun State, Nigeria Department of Accounting, Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikeji-Arakeji, Osun State **Email:** oaekundayo@jabu.edu.ng, Ajibest2001@yahoo.com **Corresponding Author:** Oluwayomi Ayoade Ekundayo

#### ABSTRACT

Motivation refers to a complexity of forces that inspires a person at work to intensify his desire and willingness to use his potentialities to perform in order to achieve organizational goals or objectives. This study investigates the relationship between motivation and the level of employee performance as applied to some selected insurance companies in Lagos. The study has three main objectives viz.; evaluate the effects of motivation on employee performance in some selected insurance companies, to assess motivational factors that can influence employee performance and to recommend possible policies and innovations for better performance of the employees and increased profitability. Structured Questionnaire was used as the instrument for the research work. This instrument was tested for reliability and validity of its content. The results of the tests were certified ok by the experts. The study used stratified random sampling and simple random sampling techniques in selecting the respondents. A sample of 100 respondents which included management, senior and junior staff members of the selected insurance company was used for the primary data. Simple percentages, distribution tables and pie charts, statistical tools were used to analyse the primary data while Chi-Square (X<sup>2</sup>) was applied to test the only hypothesis formulated for the study at 0.05 level of significance. The findings revealed that motivation was the major factor that affected employee performance. Furthermore, the study showed a direct strong and positive relationship between motivation of employees and their performance. This study hereby recommends that the management team in organizations should always carry out thorough study on the various motivational tools that can appeal and motivate their employees. Such motivational tools can include; involvement of employees in decision making, rotation of employees, provision of fringe benefits, payment of bonuses to workers, and promotion of deserved staff to higher positions of authority.

**Keywords:** Motivation, Employee Performance, Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation

### INTRODUCTION

Motivation can be defined as the complexity of forces that inspires a person at work to intensify his desires and willingness to use his potentials to perform in order to achieve organizational objectives. According to Mile (2004), motivation is condition which influences the arousal, direction and maintenance of behaviour. Human needs must be satisfied and this can cause the arousal of motivational forces. Koontz et al 1990, opined that motivation of employees is an important inner control tool and should be satisfied in order to attain advantages such as increased employee commitment, increased productivity and efficiency. Motivation emphasizes a result oriented management through setting of smart goals and effective communication systems in an organization.

According to Koontz *et al.*, (1990), employee performance refers to the efficiency and effectiveness of employees in achieving organizational goals and objectives. He further stated that employee performance could be evaluated by considering the level of absenteeism, quality of reports and the time of reporting for and leaving duty.

# **Statement of Problem**

Towers, (2003) emphasized in his book titled, "Working today; Understanding what drives employee engagement," quoted by Olusola Adelanwa (2013), that employee motivation was the biggest driver of organizational performance. He further stated that an organization that was able to motivate its employees and maintain it, would be able to leverage their zeal and drive in order to ensure staff performance.

This study is therefore aimed at evaluating the impact of motivation on the employees and the level of their performance.

# **Research Questions**

1. Is there any relationship between motivation and employee performance?

2. Is it necessary to motivate employees before they can perform?

3. Should motivation of employees be limited to salary and allowances?

# **Objectives of the Study**

This research study will evaluate the motivational factors that can influence employee performance, assess effects of motivation on employee performance, and recommend possible motivational policies and innovations for the increase in the level of performance of the employees.

The independent variable in this study is performance while the dependent variable is motivation. Motivation will be discussed using the following variables, viz; salaries and wages, ICT training, fringe benefits [e.g. house rent allowance, leave bonus, transport allowance, luncheon vouchers], staff training, provision for staff self-development benefits, length of service award to deserved staff, etc.

#### **Research Hypothesis**

1. There is no strong relationship between employee performance and motivation.

2. It is not necessary to motivate employees before they perform.

3. Motivation of employees should not be limited to salaries and allowances.

#### Scope of Study

The study focuses on the impact of motivation on employee performance and the relationship between motivation and employee performance.

#### Significance of the Study

The Research work will be of great importance to various organizations and groups of employers of labour in assisting them to know different forms of motivation for better performance.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

There are competitive environments among the various businesses and organizations. Therefore, all employers of labour try to manage their overhead costs in order to retain their key employees through motivation. The relationship between motivation and employee performance is that employees tend to perform much better when they are positively and consistently motivated. However, the employees perform less when they are poorly motivated. Therefore, motivation is directly proportional to the performance of employees. Kreitner, (1989) and Higgins, (1994) in their respective comments asserted that motivation was the psychological process that gave behaviour, purpose and direction and an internal drive to satisfy an unsatisfied need. Adelanwa, O (2013), quoted Deci, (1980), Deci & Ryan, (1985) and Roberts, (1992) as saying, "traditionally, motivation has been defined by the two dimensions that comprise it, namely; energy and direction. The energy dimension of motivation is the driving force behind someone's efforts and persistence during engagement in a particular activity. Direction of motivation determines the area or field of interest in which that effort is projected. Both energy and direction are necessary elements of a complete motivational act. Energy without a direction has no purpose, and direction without energy results in a state of no motivation.

Different scholars have agreed and disagreed on the ways employees should be motivated. Some of them assert that in order to motivate an individual, a financial has to be foregone by the motivator whereas others believe that money is not a true motivator hence both financial and nonfinancial incentives. According to Cole (1998), financial incentives are rewards that employees get in consideration of their contribution towards the organization. Lindner (1995) noted that though monetary methods of motivation have little value, even though many firms still use money as a major incentive. She adds that wages are normally paid per hour worked and workers receive money at the end of the week and overtime paid for any additional hours worked for whereas salaries are based on a year's work and are paid at the end of each month. Lun Chien- Chung (2003), said, "piece rate is the paying of a worker per item produced in a certain period of time." He asserted that this increased speed of work and therefore productivity. This was in agreement with the earlier revelations made by Taylor (1993), who noted that though, the employee with the piece rate practice of motivation. Doellgast (2006), believes that fringe benefits are often known as "perks" and are items which an employee receives in addition to their normal wage and salary. They include; company cars, health insurance, free meals, education etc. He asserts that these encourage loyalty to the company and such employees may stay longer with the company. Other believers of this school of thought include Marler (2000) and Likert's (2004). Another school of thought believes that non-financial incentives are the most important motivators of human behaviour in terms of the needs of human beings. They believe that non-financial incentives such as training opportunities, job rotation and communication styles among others. The believers of this school of thought include; Hammer, (2000), Herzberg (1998), and Fowler, (2001). However, Clifton, (2002) disagreed with the view but believes that job rotation does not actually lead to motivation of employees. It only helps the employer not to get bored with their work but to maintain a certain level of motivation in employees.

The third school of thought believes that employee performance can be increased through the provision of non-financial incentives such as goal clarity, knowledge of structures and feedbacks. Believers in this school of

thought are; Wilmot (2007), Knight (2008), Fred (2003), Sara (2004) and Gerhart (2004) among others.

The fourth school of thought believes that performance might not occur if the environmental conditions are so unsuitable as to present insurmountable barriers to performance. Rynes (2004). The environmental conditions, they believe, include; technology, training and experience, abilities and work-home balance. Technology according to Samuel (2010), is primary tool that can be used to boost employee performance. Improvement in technology accompanied by training of the employees can significantly increase their level of performance because it reduces the stress that comes with doing the job manually. Scott (2000), in his own contribution defined ability as the capacity to learn and perform the tasks required. He revealed that a good mixture of ability, training and experience is the root cause of best performance. He asserted that that the best performing employees have at least, two of the three factors. In his own contribution Berman (2001), wrote that as much as an employer might not want to be affected by the personal life of his employees, personal problems can sometimes affect employee performance. Managers need to be more sensitive to employee personal problems and be prepared to discuss the issues with the employees when necessary. If an employee required time off to deal with a personal problem, he continued, then, granting that time off would help to show all other employees that the company valued its employees.

**Challenges of Motivation:** Some of the challenges facing the application of motivation in the organizations include; corporate culture, Communication style, Organization direction, Decision making, and Feedback mechanism.

#### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This research study is based on the following motivational theories; **Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Theory (1943):** Abraham Maslow in 1943 published a theory on what motivates human beings in his paper titled, "A Theory of Human Motivation". He said that human beings had five levels of needs that they always sought to meet. The more the needs are basic, the motivated the person would be to fulfil them. However, they would experience more stress if they failed or unable to fulfil them. The five levels of need are; physiological needs, Safety needs, Belongingness needs, Ego-Status needs and Self-Actualization needs.

**Expectancy Theory of Motivation:** This theory tries to explain and predict motivated behaviour. It tries to answer the question; "what determines a

person's readiness for motivated behaviour?" the theory draws on thoughts from rational science which includes human behaviour as being controlled by a desire for maximum use of a given behaviour. The following formula can be used to explain the theory. Motivation = Expectancy x Instrumentality x Valence [i.e. ExIxV].

E = Expectancy means "the relevant employee's expectations that his performance leads to the desired result; i.e. that the performance will be successful".

I = Instrumentality means "the personal assessment of the probability of different rewards as a consequence of successful task performance. Some rewards will in all probability occur while others have significantly smaller or very poor probability."

Valence means, "the value attached to these rewards by the employee concerned. Examples of rewards are: promotion, higher pay [increase in salary], time off, over-time pay, credit etc. The factor V is the personal value of possible rewards and is often called Valence".

**Management by Objectives [MBO]:** "This theory is based on the notion that most human behaviour is based on unconscious choices of objectives and intentions. Action has a direction and a desired result. Techniques for setting objectives are the practical use of a number of motivational theories – especially Expectancy Theory. The notion is that the objectives and the process of setting objectives itself may have positive influence on motivation and thereby performance." Objectives must be **SMART**, i.e. S = Specific, M = Measurable, A = Ambitious and Attractive, R = Realistic, T =Time related.

**McClelland's Motivational Theory (1971):** David McClelland's Theory uses the management group as a starting point, and he focuses on three meaningful needs, which he believes are culturally acquired and therefore possible to change through training. He does not deal with progression and regression between needs but with the needs/ motives that have significant influence on productivity and efficiency in working life. McClelland's needs which are often called APA needs include;

i. Achievement Need: This is the need to achieve high performance and to master difficult and complex tasks.

ii. Power Need: This is a need to take responsibility, take charge, gain influence, and the willingness to make a difference. McClelland distinguished between social power needs i.e. the need to perform well for

others and/or the entire company, and personal power needs i.e. the need to create personal gain.

iii. Affiliation Need: This is the need for social interaction and need to create and maintain friendships. McClelland believed that all people have different composition of the three needs, but that one of the three needs is usually dominating.

#### **RESEARCH METHOD**

A descriptive research design was used in carrying out this study. Purposive sampling technique was used to collect data from the Management staff, Underwriting department staff, Claims department staff, Marketing department staff and Accounting department staff of the selected insurance organizations through the use of structured questionnaire copies. One hundred copies of questionnaire were administered and collected. Distribution and frequency tables and percentages were used for data presentation. A four Likert scale ranging from SA (4), A (3), D (2), and SD (1) was used to measure the adequacy of the data. Only one of the hypotheses was tested using Chi-square [X<sup>2</sup>] statistical tool.

### DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND RESULTS Table 1: Length of Service

Length of	Frequency	Percentage	Valid %	Cumulative
service				Percentage
1-5 years	16	16	16	16
6-10 years	34	34	34	50
11-15 years	28	28	28	78
16 years	22	22	22	100
Total	100	100	100	

#### Table 2: Wages and salaries as a factor of motivation

Motivation	Frequency	Percentage	Valid	Cumulative
Levels			Percentage	Percentage
Strongly Agree	10	10	10	10
Agree	18	18	18	28
Disagree	45	45	45	73
Strongly	27	27	27	100
Disagree				
Total	100	100	100	

0				
<b>Fringe Benefits</b>	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	64	64	64	64
Agree	32	32	32	96
Disagree	4	4	4	100
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	100
Total	100	100	100	

# **Table 3: Fringe Benefits as Factor of Motivation**

### Table 4: In-Service Training of Workers as Factor of Motivation

<b>Employee Training</b>	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	76	76	76
Agree	20	20	96
Disagree	4	4	100
Strongly Disagree	0	0	100
T4otal	100	100	

# Table 5: Participation in Decision Making as Factor of Motivation

Decision Making	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	4	4	4
Agree	8	8	12
Disagree	30	30	42
Strongly Disagree	58	58	100
Total	100	100	

# **Table 6: Promotion as Factor of Motivation**

<b>Employee Promotion</b>	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	20	20	20
Agree	14	14	34
Disagree	46	46	80
Strongly Disagree	20	20	100
Total	100	100	

### Table 7: Goal Clarity and Staff Performance as Factor of Motivation

Respondents were requested to indicate if goal clarity of an organization can help to improve employee performance.

Goal Clarity	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	24	24	24
Agree	56	56	80
Disagree	12	12	92
Strongly Disagree	8	8	100
Total	100	100	

### **Table 8: Working Conditions as a factor for improved Performance**

The respondents were asked to indicate if the working conditions can lead to improved performance of the employees.

Working Conditions	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	48	48	48
Agree	38	38	86
Disagree	8	8	94
Strongly Disagree	6	6	100
Total	100	100	

# Table 9: Use of ICT as a factor for improved performance

Respondents were asked if the use of ICT lead to improved performance of the employees.

Use of ICT	Frequency	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly Agree	44	44	44
Agree	40	40	84
Disagree	10	10	94
Strongly Disagree	6	6	100
Total	100	100	

# **Relationship between Motivation and Employee Performance**

Questions 1 to 6 of this section will be analysed using Likert's Scale

Option	Code	Point
Strongly Agree	SA	4
Agree	А	3
Disagree	D	2
Strongly Disagree	SD	1

Total value == Total respondents X point of categories Mean Average Value (MAV) = <u>Total value</u>

Total response

N0.	Questions	SA	Α	D	SD	Total	MAV
						Value	
1	With the help of management, my	25	40	10	25	265	2.65
	performance has improved						
2	My performance reduced when it took	20	46	4	30	256	2.56
	my employers long time to rotate the						
	employees						
3	I always perform my best when I		34	16	12	298	2.98
	know that I am accepted at work						
4	With the current motivational	26	16	28	30	238	2.38
	practices at my working place, the						
	performance of the employees is						

CARD International Journal of Social Sciences and Conflict Management
Volume 2, Number 4, December 2017

	reduced						
5	Employees often endeavour to meet	44	46	8	2	332	3.32
	the set goals/objectives which attract						
	bonus payments						
6	There is strong relationship between	60	36	2	2	354	3.54
	employee performance and motivation						

### **Testing of Hypotheses**

The test was conducted with 95% confidence level and 0.05% level of significance, (n-1)(c-1) degree of freedom.

The Chi-square [X<sup>2</sup>] formula is X<sup>2</sup> =[Fo-Fe]

Fe

Where Fo= observed frequencies

Fe = expected frequencies

i.e. expected frequency = <u>Total Row X Total Columns</u> Grand total

i.e. <u>TR X TC.</u> GT

**Ho1:** There is no strong relationship between employee performance and motivation.

From the table above, items 3, 4, 5 and 6 are used as data for this hypothesis.

Questions	SA	Α		D	SD	Total	
3	38	34		16	12	100	
4	26	16		28	30	100	
5	44	46		8	2	100	
6	60	36		2	2	100	
Total	168	132	2	54	46	400	
Fo	Fe		Fo – Fe		[Fo-Fe] <sup>2</sup>	[ <u>Fo-Fe]</u> <sup>2</sup>	
							Fe
38	42		-4		16	0.381	
34	33		1		1	0.030	
16	13.5		2.5		6.25	0.463	
12	11.5		0.5			0.25	0.022
26	42		-16			256	6.095
16	33		-17			289	8.758
28	13.5		14.5		210.25	15.574	
30	11.5		18.5		342.25	29.761	
44	44 42		2			4	0.095
46 33		13			169	5.121	
8 13.5		-5.5			30.25	2.241	

2	11.5	-9.5	90.25	7.848
60	42	18	324	7.714
36	33	3	9	0.273
2	13.5	-11.5	132.25	9.796
2	11.5	-9.5	90.25	7.848
		TOTAL	=	102.401

### **Decision Rule**

The rejection or acceptance of a null hypothesis is based on some level of significance as a criterion. The null hypothesis is rejected if  $X^2$ calc. is greater than  $X^2$  <sup>tab</sup> and accept H<sub>1</sub>. The degree of freedom at .05 level of significance is given by

(R-1)(C-1) = (4-1)(4-1) - (3)(3) = 9.

The calculated  $X^2 = 102.401$ . The critical value at 0.05 level of significance and 9 degrees of freedom is 16.919. Since the  $X^2$  calculated is 102.401 and the critical or table value at the 0.05 significance level and 9 degrees of freedom is 16.919, reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternate hypothesis. It states, 'There is strong relationship between employee performance and motivation'.

# **Summary of Findings**

This study shows that the selected insurance company effectively and appropriately applied positive motivation to make its employees perform excellently well. It was also discovered that there is strong relationship between motivation and employee performance. The type of motivation also determines the level of the level of performance of the employee. When positive motivation is efficiently, skilfully and effectively applied, the level of employee performance increases and vice versa.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

From the summary of findings, it can be inferred that the type of motivation that will make employees perform very well must be such that will meet his/her desired needs and expectations. Furthermore, such motivation must be such that will enhance his/her promotion level and position i.e. positive change.

This study therefore recommends that the insurance companies should always organize self-development programs for their employees. They must organise training and retraining programmes as well. An employee must be moved round different departments in the insurance companies so that every staff will acquire an all-round experience and skill within the organization. Hard work must be recognized and rewarded. All these recommendations, if put into practice or use, will reduce laziness, idleness, frustration and high labour turnover.

# REFERENCES

- Adelanwa, O (2013), Motivation and Employee performance [A study of International Institute of Tropical Africa, IITA], Ibadan. JABU, (Unpublished Student Project)]
- Bookboon.com (2010), Managing Stress, MTD Training & Ventus Publishing APSISBN 978-87-7681-658-2 pages 13-19.
- Carter, McN (2000). Field Guide to Leadership and Supervision. New York, Routledge.
- Clifton, J. M (2000). Restructuring the Employee Relationship; Implication for Firms, Unions and Employers, USA; CUNA International Inc.
- Cole, F (1998), a model of achievement motives, goal orientations, intrinsic interest and academic achievement. Psychological Report.
- Deci, E., Ryan, R.M (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behaviour. New York, A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation.
- Doegllgast, L. (2006). Discretionary effort and Organization of Work: Employee participation and work Reform since Hawthorne. New York, Columbia University.
- Fowler, J. M (2001). The Relationship among the Subordinate Personality and their need for Motivation. Published Dissertation. South Africa; De Mont Fort University.
- Herzberg, T. (1966). Work and the Nature of Man. Cleveland, World Publishing, New York; Wiley.

Herzberg, T. (2000). Job Satisfaction and Employee Performance, 8th Edition,

- Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Jorgen Laegaard & Mille Bindslev (2006), Organizational Theory, Jorgen Laegaard, Mille Bindslev & Ventus Publishing APS; ISBN 87-7681-169-7, chapter three [focus on motivation].
- Koontz, H. (1990). Essentials of Management, New York. The Free Press.
- Likert, R. (1967). The Human Organization: Its Management and Value. New York. McGraw-Hill.
- Lun, C. C. (2003). Explorations in achievement Motivation. Paper presented at Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.

Maslow, A.(1943). A Theory of Human Motivation. New York, Harper & Row.

McClelland, D. C (1971). Assessing Human Motivation. Morristown, NJ. General Learning Press.

Miles, A. M (2004). Index of Economic Freedom. Heritage Books, Amazon.

Sanjay, K., Bradley, E. (2008). Public Service Motivation and Interpersonal Citizenship Behaviour in Public Organizations. International Public Management Journal, 11, (1) 89-108.

**Reference to this paper should be made as follows:** O. A. Ekundayo & E. A. Ajanaku (2017), The Impact of Motivation on Employee Performance in selected Insurance Companies in Nigeria). *Intl J. of Social Sciences and Conflict Management*, Vol. 2, No. 4, 2017, Pp. 237-249